WO

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Armando Peralta-Cota	Case Number:	08-7066m	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 Defendant was present and was represented by couns and order the detention of the defendant pending trial	sel. I conclude by a preponderance		
I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT		
· <u> </u>	l loite d Otata a collectivity d	for a company of the city of the company of the city o	
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
The defendant, at the time of the cha	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
If released herein, the defendant of Enforcement, placing him/her beyond or otherwise removed.	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
The defendant has no significant con	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
The defendant has no resources in the to assure his/her future appearance.			
The defendant has a prior criminal hi	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
☐ The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
The defendant is an amnesty applic substantial family ties to Mexico.	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
There is a record of prior failure to ap	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
The defendant attempted to evade la	w enforcement contact by fleeing	from law enforcement.	
The defendant is facing a maximum	of years i	nprisonment.	
The Court incorporates by reference the mate at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as not		Agency which were reviewed by the Court	

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee. 1.
- No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. **DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION** 2.

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 14<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2008.

Edward C. United States Magistrate Judge